Substitution of Cl⁻ Ligands in Λ -cis-[CoCl₂(en)₂]^{*} with Benzohydroxamate Anion. Inversion of the Configuration

F. BENDA and B. HÁJEK

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Institute of Chemical Technology, Prague, Czechoslovakia

Received April 27, 1981

In our previous paper the proper reaction conditions for the preparation of benzohydroxamatobis(ethylenediamine)cobalt(III) chloride, [Co(en)2- C_2H_5CONHO] Cl_2 , were studied [1]. Utilizing results obtained we concentrated in this paper our further effort to the study of some problems concerning stereochemistry of substitution reaction of optically active cis-[CoCl₂(en)₂]⁺ with potassium benzohydroxamate, C₆H₅CONHOK. Substitution reaction was studied either in diluted water solution or in paste. Owing to the character of electronic spectra (see ref. 1) and analogy with the conclusions described in the literature [2, 3] it was possible to consider that both starting complexes and reaction products which are dextro-rotatory at the Nan line have the same configuration. As a starting point of relative configuration identification $[Co(en)_2]$ -C₆H₅CONHO]Cl₂ was prepared by the independent method [1] and resolved using Λ -Na [Coen(C₂O₄)₂]. H_2O analogously as described in the case $[Co(en)_2 (CO_3)^{\dagger}$ [4]. The mentioned method gave the $(+)_{D}$ - $[Co(en)_2 C_6 H_5 CONHO]^{2+}$ enantiomer, which was isolated as iodide ($[\alpha]_D = 1050^\circ$). The starting complex, A-cis-[CoCl2(en)2]Cl, was prepared according to literature [5] and resolved using A-Na[Coen- $(C_2O_4)_2$]·H₂O similarly as hydroxamato complex. By this procedure $(+)_D$ -cis-[CoCl₂(en)₂]Cl was obtained ($[\alpha]_D = 734^\circ$).

Reactions between $(+)_D$ -cis- $[CoCl_2(en)_2]^*$ and hydroxamate anion in water solution were modified so that to the 0.1--0.6 *M* solution of starting complex solid potassium benzohydroxamate in molar ratio ranging from 1:1 up to 1:6 was added. Reaction mixture was stirred and heated during 2-3 min. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to 5 °C and separated on the cation exchange resin as described previously [1]. Under the mentioned reaction conditions $(+)_D$ - $[Co(en)_2C_6H_5CONHO]^{2+}$ is formed as a main product which does not undergo racemization. Reaction path up to ratio 1:6 is not dependent on the ratio of reactants.

Reaction in paste was made as follows. To a mixture of pulverized solid $(+)_D$ -cis- $[CoCl_2(en)_2]$ Cl and $C_6H_5CONHOK$ in the molar ratio 1:3 was made wet with water, heated to 60 °C and the paste formed was heated with continuous stirring to 40 °C. After 1-2 min the paste was rapidly cooled to 0 °C and $[Co(en)_2C_6H_5CONHO]Cl_2$ formed, dissolved and the less soluble Λ -cis- $[CoCl_2(en)_2]Cl_2$ isomer remaining in the reaction mixture was filtered off. Under these conditions from the reaction mixture $(-)_D$ - $[Co(en)_2C_6H_5CONHO]I_2([\alpha]_D = 980°)$ was isolated.

Utilizing above mentioned rules and results of resolution of [Co(en)₂C₆H₅CONHO]Cl₂ prepared independently it is possible to consider that while the reaction in water solution proceeds with the retention of configuration, in paste the formation of product with inverted configuration is observed. Thus it is possible to assume the analogy with the substitution reaction between $(+)_{D}$ -cis-[CoCl₂(en)₂] and carbonato anion, described by Bailar et al. [6], which proceeds with the inversion of configuration. In the case of large excess of C₆H₅CONHOK and high concentration of the reaction mixture inversion of configuration occurs due to the basic hydrolysis which is followed in the second step by the substitution reaction with C₆H₅CONHO⁻ anion. This presumption was supported by the reaction of $(-)_{D}$ cis-[Co(OH)₂(en)₂]⁺ (prepared in situ) with C₆H₅-CONHOK which proceeds with the retention of configuration giving $(-)_{\rm D}$ -[Co(en)₂C₆H₅CONHO]²⁺.

References

- 1 B. Hájek and F. Benda, Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun., 45, 1903 (1980).
- 2 J. P. Mathieu, Bull. Soc. Chim. France, 3, 476 (1936); 4, 678 (1937).
- 3 R. D. Archer, J. C. Bailar Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 812 (1961).
- 4 F. P. Dwyer, A. M. Sargeson and I. K. Reid, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 1215 (1963).
- 5 J. C. Bailar Jr. and C. L. Rollinson, *Inorg. Synth.*, 2, 222 (1946).
- 6 J. C. Bailar Jr. and R. W. Auten, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 56, 774 (1934);

J. C. Bailar Jr., F. G. Jonelis and E. H. Huffman, *ibid.*, 58, 2224 (1936);

- J. C. Bailar Jr. and J. P. McReynolds, *ibid.*, 61, 3199 (1939);
- J. C. Bailar Jr. and D. F. Peppard, ibid., 62, 802 (1940).